

[Submitting Counsel on Signature Page]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

**IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT  
LITIGATION**

No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB

**STIPULATION AND ~~[PROPOSED]~~  
ORDER REGARDING RIDE RECEIPT  
PRODUCTION**

This Document Relates to:

*L.L. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al; S.B. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al; K.L. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al; M.H. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al; and N.R. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*

**WHEREAS**, on December 28, 2023, the Court ordered Plaintiffs to produce a bona fide ride receipt from the Uber trip connected to the alleged incident, or the following information: “(1) [an explanation of] why the receipt is unavailable; (2) the name, phone number, and email address of the accountholder who ordered the ride; (3) the date of the ride, with as much precision as is reasonably possible; (4) the starting location and ending location of the ride, with as much precision as is reasonably possible; (5) any other basic information that may assist Defendants in identifying the ride; and (6) if items (2) through (5) cannot be provided with precision or cannot be provided at all, an explanation as to why the information is not readily ascertainable,” by February 15, 2024 or, for cases filed after February 1, 2024, within 14 days of the case being filed in, removed to, or transferred to the MDL. Pretrial Order No. 5, Dkt. No. 175 at 2-3 (the “Ride Receipt Deadline”).

1           **WHEREAS**, five Plaintiffs require an extension of the deadline.

2           **WHEREAS**, Uber has agreed, in the spirit of cooperation, to assent to such an extension  
3 for those five Plaintiffs.

4           **THEREFORE**, the parties respectfully request the Court enter the parties' stipulation to  
5 extend the Ride Receipt Deadline for the plaintiff in the following five cases from February 15,  
6 2024, to February 22, 2024:

- 7           • *L.L. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:23-cv-6599;
- 8           • *S.B. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:23-cv-6613;
- 9           • *K.L. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:23-cv-6701;
- 10          • *M.H. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:23-cv-6200; and
- 11          • *N.R. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:23-2603.

12  
13       **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 Dated: February 15, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

2 By: /s/ Sarah R. London

3 Sarah R. London (SBN  
4 267083)

5 **LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN &  
6 BERNSTEIN, LLP**

7 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor  
8 San Francisco, CA 94111-3339  
9 Telephone: (415) 956-1000  
10 Facsimile: (415) 956-1008  
11 slondon@lchb.com

12 By: /s/ Rachel B. Abrams

13 Rachel B. Abrams (SBN  
14 209316)

15 **PEIFFER WOLF CARR KANE  
16 CONWAY & WISE, LLP**

17 555 Montgomery Street, Suite 820  
18 San Francisco, CA 94111  
19 Telephone: (415) 426-5641  
20 Facsimile: (415) 840-9435  
21 rabrams@peifferwolf.com

22 By: /s/ Roopal P. Luhana

23 Roopal P. Luhana

24 **CHAFFIN LUHANA LLP**

25 600 Third Avenue, 12th Floor  
26 New York, NY 10016  
27 Telephone: (888) 480-1123  
28 Facsimile: (888) 499-1123  
luhana@chaffinluhana.com

By: /s/ Bret D. Stanley

Bret D. Stanley

**PULASKI KHERKHER PLLC**

2925 Richmond Avenue, Suite 1725  
Houston, TX 77098  
Telephone: (713) 664-4555  
Facsimile: (713) 664-7543  
bstanley@pulaskilawfirm.com

*Counsel for Plaintiffs*

Dated: February 15, 2024

By: /s/ Robert Atkins

Robert Atkins

**PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND,  
WHARTON & GARRISON LLP**

By: /s/ Robert Atkins

ROBERT ATKINS

RANDALL S. LUSKEY

KYLE N. SMITH

CAITLIN E. GRUSAUSKAS

ANDREA M. KELLER

535 Mission Street, 24th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94105

Telephone: (628) 432-5100

Facsimile: (628) 232-3101

*Attorneys for Defendants*

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

RASIER, LLC, and RASIER-CA,

LLC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

**IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT  
LITIGATION**

No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB

**~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER REGARDING RIDE  
RECEIPT PRODUCTION**

This Document Relates to:

*L.L. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al*; *S.B. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al*; *K.L. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al*; *M.H. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al*; and *N.R. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al*.

The Court hereby GRANTS the parties' stipulation as follows:


The deadline for Plaintiffs in the following cases to submit a bona fide ride receipt from the Uber trip connected to the alleged incident, or the following information: "(1) [an explanation of] why the receipt is unavailable; (2) the name, phone number, and email address of the accountholder who ordered the ride; (3) the date of the ride, with as much precision as is reasonably possible; (4) the starting location and ending location of the ride, with as much precision as is reasonably possible; (5) any other basic information that may assist Defendants in identifying the ride; and (6) if items (2) through (5) cannot be provided with precision or cannot be provided at all, an explanation as to why the information is not readily ascertainable," is hereby extended from February 15, 2024, to February 22, 2024:

- *L.L. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:23-cv-6599;
- *S.B. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:23-cv-6613;

- *K.L. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:23-cv-6701;
- *M.H. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:23-cv-6200; and
- *N.R. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:23-2603.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Date: February 16, 2024

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
HON. CHARLES BREYER  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE